**Ethical Issue Research Paper**

**Peer-Review Form**

Read the paper, and consider the following:

1. Does the introduction “hook” the reader? If not, please offer a recommendation.
2. Does the thesis statement in the introduction clearly indicate the narrowed focus of the chosen issue, as well as the writer’s stance on the issue? If not, explain.
3. Does the introduction indicate the subtopics that the writer will address in the body of the paper? If not, what do you suggest the writer should include (based on the body paragraphs)?
4. Does each body paragraph relate to and support the thesis? If not, which ones do not relate?
5. Do the body paragraphs include sufficient evidence / details from research, using in-text citations? If not, which paragraphs or subtopics need development?
6. Does the conclusion summarize the writer’s perspective on the issue and revisit the main points he/she made throughout the paper to support that perspective? If not, how could he/she revise it?
7. Does the writer recognize at least one other perspective on the issue? Does he/she refute that perspective using evidence / research? If not, please make a specific recommendation.
8. Does the writer include a Works Cited page, including at least five scholarly sources? If not, please indicate the issue (not enough sources, sources are not scholarly, etc.)
9. Does the writer use correct MLA format for the in-text citations and the Works Cited page? If not, please make specific recommendations.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Praises (the best thing is…)** | **Polishes (the thing that needs the most work is…)** |